

In re	:	Chapter 11
ENRON CORP., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	Case No. 01-16034 (AJG)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	(Confirmed Case)
<hr/>		
ENRON CORP.,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	Adv. Pro. No. 03-92677
J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDERED, that Opinion Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend its Complaint against EarthLink, Inc., dated December 15, 2006, be corrected as follows:

1. Page 16, in the first full paragraph, line seven, the entry listed as
“ . . . mistakenly identified an existing defendant as a sole transferee of the alleged. . . .”

should be corrected to read as follows
“ . . . mistakenly identified an existing defendant as a sole initial transferee of the alleged”
2. Page 18, in the carryover paragraph, line one, the entry listed as
“ . . . AJG was a mere conduit, not a transferee”

should be corrected to read as follows
“ . . . AJG was a mere conduit, not an initial transferee”

3. Page 18, in the first full paragraph, line two, the entry listed as
“ . . . Trusco and EarthLink may be a transferee and/or beneficiary
under section 550”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . Trusco and EarthLink may be an initial transferee and/or
beneficiary under section 550”

4. Page 25, in the first full paragraph, line three, the entry listed as
“ . . . to the extent that EarthLink is determined to be a transferee
and/or beneficiary”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . to the extent that EarthLink is determined to be an initial
transferee and/or beneficiary”

5. Page 25, after the heading “Conclusion” the following full paragraph should be
inserted

“The Court rejects EarthLink’s prejudicial delay argument
and finds that Enron has been diligent in seeking leave to amend
the complaint after giving EarthLink notice that Enron might seek
to add it as a defendant.”

Dated: New York, New York
December 18, 2006

s/Arthur J. Gonzalez
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE